**Hypothesis** 



J Renal Endocrinol 2018;4:e07.

http://www.jrenendo.com



# Biomarkers of diabetic nephropathy detection, today and future

Majid Tavafi\*

# Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is the common cause of mortality due to end stage of kidney failure. According to the International Diabetes Federation's Diabetes Atlas Report in 2015, more than 415 million people suffer from diabetes ( $\underline{1}$ ).

Up to 40% of the patients with type I and type II DM present diabetic kidney disease (2). Today early diagnosis of diabetic kidney disease is one of the most important aim of investigators. Biomarkers have a notable importance for the prediction, diagnosis and the therapeutic success of various diseases such as diabetic kidney disease (3). The best source of renal biomarkers are urine and serum, but urine is an ideal source of biomarkers, chiefly for renal diseases and urinary tract.

The most important urinary biomarkers for early diagnosis and progression of diabetic kidney disease that introduced by scientists are illustrated in <u>Table 1</u>.

At present, diagnosis of diabetic kidney disease in clinical settings relies upon the assessment of kidney function, usually by calculating estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR), and the assessment of renal injury, usually by assessment of urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (<u>14</u>).

While albuminuria today remains the gold standard for diagnosing and categorizing diabetic kidney disease, however, it has many limitations. Urinary albumin excretion may also increase for reasons other than diabetic kidney disease such as physical activity, diet pattern, infection, fever, congestive heart failure, marked hyperglycemia, menstruation, and marked hypertension. Serum creatinine levels are also affected by the muscle mass and diet pattern especially meat intake, and therefore may interfere with the GFR calculation  $(\underline{14})$ . Additionally, most recent studies fail to show that changes in microalbuminuria predict nephropathy progression, and there is a contentious, ongoing debate about the predictive value of microalbuminuria, and the relative importance of using microalbuminuria as a renal endpoint in clinical trials. This debate concentrates on three concerns, including  $(\underline{1})$  a large number of patients with microalbuminuria revert to normal albumin excretion,  $(\underline{2})$  only a small percentage of patients with microalbuminuria progress to proteinuria, and  $(\underline{3})$ 

### Implication for health policy/practice/research/ medical education

According to the limitation of biomarkers in early detection of diabetic kidney disease, it should be remembered that no gold standard biomarker and technique in early detection and diagnosis of diabetic kidney disease was existed. Hence, large longitudinal cohort studies are still required to validate the abilities of the aforementioned novel early diagnosis and prediction techniques.

**Keywords:** Biomarkers, Diabetic nephropathy, End-stage of renal disease, Diabetic kidney disease

progressive renal functional decline is already present in one-third of patients that progress into microalbuminuria  $(\underline{4})$ .

However, unfortunately in diabetic kidney disease, kidney tubular damage develops over years before clinical and laboratory abnormalities like albuminuria, hypertension, or diminishing glomerular filtration rate appear (15), and waiting for clinical or laboratory manifestation of kidney disease before beginning treatment may hinder the attempts that prevent progression to end-stage kidney failure (16). Thus, tubular biomarkers seem to play an important role in the early diagnosis of diabetic kidney disease. In type 2 DM urinary NAG excretion increases proportionally to the duration of diabetes. It occurs much earlier than albuminuria. NAG can be considered as an early tubular biomarker (17). Urinary NAG is the most sensitive biomarker for detecting early damage in diabetic patients (<u>18</u>).

Although numerous investigators mentioned different types of biomarkers in diabetic kidney disease prediction, however all biomarkers have some limitations (<u>14</u>) in early detection of diabetic kidney disease and there are no enough clinical trials studies for validity of these biomarkers.

## Conclusion

According to the limitation of biomarkers in early detection of diabetic kidney disease, it should be remembered that, no gold standard biomarker and technique in early detection and diagnosis of diabetic kidney disease was

Received: 4 December 2017, Accepted: 26 December 2017, ePublished: 23 January 2018

Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran **\*Corresponding Author:** Majid Tavafi; Email: Tavafi,m@lums.ac.ir

 Table 1. Urinary biomarkers in diabetic nephropathy

Urinary biomarkers of DN	Example
Markers of glomerular injury	Adiponectin, ceruloplasmin, laminin, transferrin, immunoglobulin G, glycosaminoglycans, lipocalin- type prostaglandin D synthase (L-PGDS), fibronectin, vascular endothelial growth factor/VEGF (4), type IV urinary collagen (5), podocyte number, podocyte-specific proteins (nephrin, synaptopodin) (6).
Markers of tubular injury	Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL)(7), N-acetyl- $\beta$ -D glucosaminidase (NAG), kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1), $\alpha$ 1- and $\beta$ 2-microglobulin, liver-type fatty acid binding protein (L-FABP), retinal binding protein 4 (RBP4), angiotensinogen, cystatin C (4).
Biomarkers of kidney fibrosis and inflammation	Collagen type IV, transforming growth factor- $\beta$ , proinflammatory molecules, chemokines such as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ (TNF- $\alpha$ ), tumor necrosis factor receptor-1 (TNFR1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-18 (IL-18), IL8, IP10, G-CSF, EOTAXIN, RANTES, urinary orosomucoid and BMP7 (3), TGF- $\beta$ 1-to-BMP-7 ratio (8).
Biomarkers of oxidative stress	8-hydroxy- 20-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), 8(F2a)-isoprostane, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, 3-nitrotyrosine peptides as well as AGEs including carboxymethyl lysine and pentosidine, heart fatty acid binding protein (H-FABP), uUrinary advanced glycation products (UAGE) (3,9).
Proteomics	Urinary (IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, interferon gamma-inducible protein (IP-10), macrophage inflammatory protein-1 $\delta$ , inositol pentakisphosphate 2 kinase (IPP2K), zona occludens 3 (ZO-3), cadherin-like protein FAT tumor suppressor 2, three peptides that were decreased, including $\alpha$ -1(IV) and $\alpha$ -1(V) collagen and tenascin-X (10,11). Specific collagen fragments, $\beta$ 2-microglobulin, ubiquitin, proinflammatory cytokines, RBP4, transthyretin, apolipoprotein A1, apolipoprotein C1, cystatin C (12).
Micro RNA	miR-15b, miR-34a and miR-636 (13).

existed. Hence, large longitudinal cohort studies are still required to validate the abilities of the aforementioned novel early diagnosis and prediction techniques.

By reviewing of mechanisms involved in diabetic kidney disease pathogenesis (19), biomarkers and the fact that tubular injury in diabetic kidney disease take place years before changes of laboratories urinary and serum tests, theoretically may be said that sum of three biomarkers; urinary NAG (tubular biomarker), urinary AGEs (pentosidine) and microalbuminuria with together are the best reliable biomarkers for early detection, diagnosis and treatment of diabetic kidney disease. This theory will be studied in future as clinical trial research for validating of these biomarkers in DN early detection.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The author wishes to thank from Rezvan Bagheri, Bardia and Barsin Tavafi.

## Authors' contribution

MT was the single author of the manuscript. Conflicts of interest The author declared no competing interests.

#### **Ethical considerations**

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, data fabrication, double publication) have been completely observed by the author.

## **Funding/Support**

None.

#### References

- 1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 7th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2015.
- Macisaac RJ, Ekinci EI, Jerums G. Markers of and risk factors for the development and progression of diabetic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis 2014;63:S39-62.
- 3. Jha JC, Jandeleit-Dahm KA, Cooper ME. New insights into

the use of biomarkers of diabetic nephropathy. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis. 2014;21:318-26.

- Gluhovschi C, Petrica L, Timar R, Velciov S. Urinary Biomarkers in the Assessment of Early Diabetic Nephropathy .Hindawi Publishing Corporation. J Diabetes Res. 2016;2016:4626125.s
- Inoue M, Oishi C, Shimajiri Y, Furuta M, Ueyama M, Sanke T. Clinical usefulness of measurement of urine type IV collagen for detection of early phase of nephropathy in type 2 diabetic patients. Rinsho Byori. 2008;56:564-569.
- Wang G, Lai FM, Lai KB, Chow KM, Li KT, Szeto CC. Messenger RNA expression of podocyte-associated molecules in the urinary sediment of patients with diabetic nephropathy. Nephron Clin Pract. 2007;106:c169-79.
- Nielsen SE, Schjoedt KJ, Astrup AS, et al. Neutrophil gelatinase associated lipocalin (NGAL) and kidney injury molecule 1 (KIM1) in patients with diabetic nephropathy: a crosssectional study and the effects of lisinopril. Diabetic Med. 2010;27:1144-1150.
- Wong MG, Perkovic V, Woodward M, et al. Circulating bone morphogenetic protein-7 and transforming growth factorbeta1 are better predictors of renal end points in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Kidney Int. 2013;83:278-284.
- 9. Giacco F, Brownlee M. Oxidative stress and diabetic complications. Circ Res 2010;107:1058-1070.
- Starkey JM, Tilton RG. Proteomics and systems biology for understanding diabetic nephropathy. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 2012;5:479-490.
- 11. Ben Ameur R, Molina L, Bolvin C. Proteomic approaches for discovering biomarkers of diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2010;25:2866-75.
- Otu HH, Can H, Spentzos D. Prediction of diabetic nephropathy using urine proteomic profiling 10 years prior to development of nephropathy. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:638-43.
- 13. Eissa S, Matboli M, Aboushahba R, Bekhet MM, Soliman Y. Urinary exosomal microRNA panel unravels novel biomarkers for diagnosis of type 2 diabetic kidney disease. J Diabetes Complications. 2016;30:1585-92.
- Lin CH, Chang YC, Chuang LM. Early detection of diabetic kidney disease: present limitations and future perspectives. World J Diabetes 2016;7:290-301.
- 15. Caramori ML, Kim Y, Huang C, Fish AJ, Rich SS, Miller ME, et

al. Cellular basis of diabetic nephropathy: 1. Study design and renal structural-functional relationships in patients with long-standing type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2002;51:506-13.

- 16. Tavafi M, Ahmadvand H, Tamjidipoor A, Delfan B, Khalatbari A. *Satureja khozestanica* essential oil ameliorates progression of diabetic nephropathy in uninephrectomized diabetic rats. Tissue Cell. 2011;43:45-51.
- 17. Patel DN, Kalia K. Efficacy of urinary N-acetyl- $\beta$ -dglucosaminidase to evaluate early renal tubular damage as

a consequence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cross-sectional study. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2015;35:449-57.

- Assal HS, Tawfeek S, Rasheld E, El-Lebedy AD, Thabet EH. Serum cystatin C and tubular urinary enzymes as biomarkers: a renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes. 2013;6:7-13.
- 19. Tavafi M. Complexity of diabetic nephropathy pathogenesis and design of investigations. J Ren Inj Prev. 2013;2:59-62.

**Please cite this paper as:** Tavafi M. Biomarkers of diabetic nephropathy detection, today and future. J Renal Endocrinol. 2018;4:e07. **Copyright** © 2018 The Author(s); Published by Nickan Research Institute. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.